

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/028,100	Applicant(s) ROUVELLOU, LAURENT JEAN MARIE	
	Examiner Manav Seth	Art Unit 2625	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Manav Seth (Examiner).

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr Daniel Piotrowski (Applicant's Attorney).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 March 2005
Time: 9:20 a.m.
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

7

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 JOSEPH MANCUS
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called applicant's attorney of record, Mr. Daniel Piotrowski, registration number 42079, on 03/24/2005 at 9:20 am. Examiner discussed with Mr. Piotrowski of the claim 7 being dependent on claim 6, whereas claim 6 has been canceled by the applicant in the filed amendment. Mr. Walker authorized examiner for examiner's amendment in the claim 7, to change depending from "claim 6" to "claim 1" and further authorized examiner to renumber the claims . .